ASSESSMENT OF GIFTEDNESS

A Concise and Practical Guide

Julie Lamb Milligan, Ph.D.
Arkansas State University

YBK Publishers
New York
Contents

1 History of Assessing and Identifying Giftedness 1
   The Earliest Definitions of “High IQ” and “Giftedness” 1
   What Terman Did 2
   What Hollingworth Did 5
   More Recent Assessments 5
   Putting Together the Old and New 8
   What Marland Did 9

2 Defining Assessment of Giftedness 13
   What Giftedness is Being Assessed? 13
   Why Identify Giftedness? 15
   When Should Giftedness be Identified? 16

3 Assessment Procedures and Instruments 21
   Where Does the Process Begin? 21
   Screening, Nomination, or Referral 22
   Assessing Cognitive Abilities 23
   Assessing Creativity 27
   Assessing Task Commitment, Motivation, and Leadership 33
   Interpreting Scores 35

4 Identifying Giftedness Among Subpopulations 37
   Masked Giftedness 37
   Understanding Giftedness in the Rural Community 37
iv Assessment of Giftedness

Understanding Giftedness Among Minority Populations 39
Understanding Giftedness Among the Economically and Experientially Disadvantaged 40
Identification of Gifted Among Subpopulations 41
Other Suggestions for Broadening Representation 43

5 Discovering Potential in the Primary Grades 45
Distinguishing Exceptional Characteristics of the Gifted in Preschool and Primary Grades 45
Presenting Curriculum that Emphasizes Creativity 47
Educators of Enrichment 50

6 Cooperation With Classroom Teachers 57
Classroom Teachers’ Knowledge of Giftedness 57
Educating Classroom Teachers Through Staff Development 59
Suggestions for Telling Others about Giftedness 61
Positive Influence 63
Activities and Events 73

7 Pulling It All Together 65
Using Profile Data 65
A Committee’s Decision 66
Contacting the Parents 70
Conferencing with the Parents 70

References 77

Appendix A: Definitions of Assessment Terms 85
Appendix B: Scoring Guide and Matrix for Williams Creativity Assessment Packet 89
Appendix C: Portfolio Evaluation Samples 95
Appendix D: Star Transformation and Other Enrichment Activities for Primary Students 99
Activity Book for Students and Teachers of Gifted Education 117

Index 137
Abell, D., 39
abilities
academic, 25, 33
cognitive, 25, 29, 65
creative, 23, 25, 41, 47, 65
figural creativity, 30, 31
verbal creativity, 30, 31
abstract thinking, 22, 23, 26, 42
academics
abilities, 33
aptitude, 7
content, 14
specific, 10
achievement, 32
achievement test, 22
Africa, 40
African American, 39
age-graded tasks, 2
alien, 48
alternate-form reliabilities, 31
Ambrose, R., 19
American Guidance Service, 28
American Indian, 39
aptitude, 32
arithmetic reasoning, 4, 26
art abilities, 33
Aschbacher, P. R., 42
Asia, 40
assessment
defining, 13
instruments, 5, 65, 66, 68
procedures, 63
attention, 1
Barbour, N., 15
Barton, L., 19
behavioral checklists, 18, 19, 20, 21-22
Bennett, G. K., 27, 28
Benson, B., 73
Bethune, Mary, 16
Bigelow, R., 57
Binet, Alfred, 1, 2
Binet scale, 2
Blocksom, R. H., 6
bodily kinesthetic intelligence (Gardner), 7
Bracken, B. A., 42
brainstorming, 48
Bransky, T., 57
brochure, 62
Bull, K. S., 38
Callahan, C. M., 30, 33
Campbell, D., 59
Carpenter, B. D., 30, 34
Carson, Rachel, 16
Cartoon Conservation Scales, 42
Cassell, R. N., 35
Cattell Culture-Fair Intelligence Series, 42
Cattell, K., 42
Index

Cattell, R., 42
changing tracks, 48
character tests, 4
Chauvin, J. C., 35
checklists, 50, 66
Children Above 180 IQ (Hollingworth), 5
Christensen, J. R., 30, 34
Churchill, Winston, 6
Clark, B., 6, 7
Clinkenbeard, P., 29
Cognitive Abilities Test, 27, 28
cognitive aptitude, 6-7
cognitive performance ability test, 22
cognitive performance assessments, 27
cognitive processes, 7, 27
cognitive task performance, 26
Coleman, M. R., 8, 14
collation, 22
committee chair, 68
complex brain function, 6
complexity, 32, 34, 47
construct validity, 31
content validity, 31
contextual skills, 7
coordination, 60
Corn, A., 30, 32, 34
coteaching, 59
Court, J. H., 42
CREATE Model, 48, 52
creative abilities, 47
creative behavior, 7, 33
creative potential, 8, 17
creative production, 19, 43, 45, 48
creativity test, 22
creativity winners, 56
criterion related validity, 32
cross-grade grouping, 39
Cross, T. L., 38, 39
culturally fair instruments, 44
Cummings, W. B., 59
Cure for cancer, 16
curiosity, 32, 34, 47
data form, 65
Davidson, K. L., 39
Davis, B. L., 37
Deal, V. R., 42
demonstration lessons, 63
Dettmer, P., 60
Dickinson, Emily, 6
Diezsi, C. P., 59
Differential Aptitude Test, 27, 28
differentiate, 58
differentiated curriculum, 60
differentiated educational programs, 10
dimensions of leadership, 35
discrimination, 40
divergent feeling, 31, 34, 47
divergent feeling inventory, 47
divergent thinking, 31, 34
divergent thinking assessment, 47
Dixon, F. A., 38
Drew, Charles, 40
Early Assessment for Exceptional Potential (EAEP) project, 19
economic deficits, 41
Edison, Thomas, 6, 16
educability, 4
Edwin, F., 35
Einstein, Albert, 6
elaboration, 32, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53
(amount of detail), 46
elective period, 58
English as a second language, 40
enhancing potential, 48
enrichment, 17, 69
enriching the existing curriculum, 47-48
enrichment activity, 17
enrichment-based curriculum, 50
evaluating new practices and procedures, 60
evaluation of staff development efforts, 60
existential intelligence (Gardner), 7
experiential deficits, 41
experiential skills, 7
facilitator of gifted children, 17, 20, 26, 35, 36, 45, 48, 63, 66, 70, 74
Feldhusen, J., 29
Feldman, D. H., 6
figural form, 31
figural tests for creative thinking, 46
flexibility, 32, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51 (crossing categories), 46
fluency, 32, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52 (the number of ideas), 46
fluency score, 46
Ford, D. Y., 43
formal operations, 17
Fraser, M., 39
French (language), 42
French, J. L., 27
Future Problem Solving, 25
Gagne, F., 8
differential model of giftedness/talent, 8
Gallagher, J., 8, 14
Galton, Francis, 1
Gardner, Howard, 6, 7, 8
multiple intelligences, 7
Gear, G. H., 38, 41
general information, 32
German (language), 42
Gifted and Talented Evaluation Scales (GATES), 30, 33, 34
Giftedness
assess and identify, 14, 15, 17, 28, 30
characteristics, 10, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 28-29, 33-35, 39, 43, 57, 61, 62
differentiated model, 8
definition, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 33, 66-68
intellectual, 7
perceptions of, 58
performance-based assessment, 8, 17 (data), potential, 17, 19, 23, 27, 41
psychosocial model, 8
three ring model, 8
when to identify, 17, 20, 22
Gilliam, J. E., 30, 34
grasp of analogies, 4
group administered assessment, 28
Group Inventory for Finding Creative Talent, 42
group IQ test, 41
gung ho, 57
Hagen, E., 27, 28
Hand-outs, 62
Harcourt Brace Educational Measurement, 28
Hartman, R. K., 30, 33
Hatch, T., 7
Henmon-Nelson Test of Mental Ability, 27
Hibpshman, T., 27, 28
Hickey, M. G., 58
Hispanic, 39
Hollingworth, Leta Tetter, 4, 5
Howley, A. A., 38
Howley, C., 39, 41
Howley, C. B., 38
idiosyncratic value systems, 37
imagination, 32, 34, 47
implementing, 60
individuation, 39
inservice, 60, 61, 62, 63, 66
clinics, 60
education activities or projects, 60
formal sessions, 63
training, 60
intellectual ability, 6, 10, 13, 33
intellectual potential, 6, 7
intelligence quotients (IQ), 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 25, 29, 41, 66
internal consistency reliability, 32
interpersonal intelligence (Gardner), 7
interpreting passages, 4
interscorer reliability, 31
intrapersonal intelligence (Gardner), 7
IQ assessment instruments, 5, 6, 66
Javits grants, 19
Jefferson, Thomas, 16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnsen, S., 30, 32, 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaleidoscope, 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes, F., 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman, A. S., 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman, N. L., 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-Bit)</em>, 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Bit (IQ Assessment), 67, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingore, B. W., 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kite, E. S., 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge acquisition, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge-base for educators, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>labeling, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>language completion, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leader Behavior Questionnaire</em>, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leadership, 7, 10, 14, 19, 65, 67, 68, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abilities, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assessment, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimensions of, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leadership Opinion Questionnaire</em>, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Q-Sort Test, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Seminar, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leadership Skills Inventory (LSI)</em>, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning deficits, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative mandates, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennex, L., 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennon, R., 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, J. D., 38, 39, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likert scale, 32, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limited experiential backgrounds, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linguistic intelligence (Gardner), 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>literary interpreters, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logic as intelligence (Gardner), 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohman, D., 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>longitudinal study, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low socio-economic status, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marland, Sidney, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mather, N., 27, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Matrix Analogies Test: Naglieri Nonverbal Ability Test Individual Administration</em>, 27, 28, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCallum, S. R., 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCann, C., 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGre, K., 27, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntire, J., 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean, 1, 36, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>means of determining giftedness, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measure(s), 11, 21, 22, 26, 27, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of intelligence, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broad (nontraditional), 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker, M., 27, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker, R., 27, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>memory, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, B. S., 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mimeograph, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motivation, 35, 67, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motto, the author’s, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multi-criterion methods, 41, 43, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multi-criterion-based assessment procedure, 11, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identifying gifted children from disadvantaged backgrounds, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>musical intelligence (Gardner), 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naglieri, J. A., 27, 28, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naming opposites, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naturalistic intelligence (Gardner), 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative impact, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson, G. J., 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson, R., 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton, Sir Isaac, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson, C., 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-English speaking students, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-traditional measures, 11, 21, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nomination (referral), 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 33, 61, 63, 65, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>form, 22, 23, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal curve for personal accomplishments, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal distribution of scores, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>norms, 2, 4, 29, 35, 41, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“norm” the assessment, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observational checklists, 50, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oden, M. H., 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on a track, 48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

originality, 32, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53
(uniqueness), 46
Orlich, D. C., 60
Osborn, A., 29
Otis, A., 27, 28
Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test, 27, 28
Oxford Psychologists Press, 42
Pamphlets, 62
Parent/Teacher Rating Scale, 31, 67, 69
Parnes-Osborn Model, 27
Acceptance Finding, 29
Data Finding, 29
Idea Finding, 29
Problem Finding, 29
Select a Solution, 29
Passow, H, 14
Pendarvis, E. D., 38
percentile rate, 41
perception, 1
performance-based measures, 8, 43, 44
performance-based creativity, 56
performance norms, 2
performing arts (ability in), 7, 11, 14
permission to test, 23, 26, 65
Pfeiffer, S. I., 8
phonograph, 16
physical ability, 14
Piagetian theory, 16
Piaget, J., 17
Piirto, J., 19, 30
Plucker, J., 39
Portfolio, 71, 72
portfolio process, 43
potential
a child’s, 73
creative, 17, 31, 49, 52
giftedness, 18, 19, 50, 63
intellectual, 6, 7
practical intelligence, 7
productive thinking, 10
Pro-Ed., Inc., 31, 32, 34
profile form, 66, 73
Psychological Corporation
(MAT–Expanded Form), 28, 42
psychological examiner, 23, 26
psychomotor ability, 11
Public Law 91, 230, 13
Quiroz, B., 70
Quiz Bowl, 25
Raven, J., 42
Raven’s Progressive Matrices, 42
Rating scales, 22, 25, 32, 71, 72
task commitment, 22
motivation, 22
leadership, 22
reasoning, 2
reasoning subtest, 32
re-normed standardized measures, 44
Renzulli, J. S., 8, 30, 33, 34, 35
Three ring model of giftedness, 8
Renzulli-Hartman Creativity Scale, 34
Renzulli Rating Scale, 67, 69
resources, 69
Richert, S. E., 41, 42, 43
risks of underachievement, 19
risk-taking, 32, 34, 47
Riverside Publishing, 28, 42
Robinson, S. L., 73
Roid, G. H., 26
Rudnitski, R., 14
Russians, 27
SAGES—Screening Assessment for Gifted Elementary Students, 30, 32, 33, 34
Salk, Jonas, 16
Sashkin, M., 35
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students, 30, 33-35
Scholastic Testing Service, Inc., 30
school ability index, 22
scoring guide, 31, 46
scoring service, 31
Scrapbooks, 62
screening, 22, 58, 65
searching for giftedness, 48
seven intelligences, 7
Shaklee, B., 19
shared decision-making, 59
Simon, Theophile, 1, 2
single IQ score, 6
Slosson, R., 27, 28
Slosson Educational Publication, Inc., 28
Slosson Intelligence Test, 27, 28
Smith, L. H., 30, 33
Smutny, J. F., 6
social security benefits, 16
SOI Systems, 29
SOI (Structure of the Intellect) Learning Abilities Test: Screen for A-typical Gifted, 27, 29
South America, 40
Southern, D., 37
South, J., 40
space exploration, 27
Spanish (language), 42
spatial intelligence (Gardner), 7
special education, 16
special projects, 60
specific standards, 14
Spicker, J. P., 37, 38
Sputnik, 27
staff development, 60, 61, 63
formal, 63
informal, 63
stakeholders, 39
standardized achievement tests, 22, 25
standardized IQ tests, 5
Standard 9 Achievement, 67, 69
Stanford-Binet IQ test, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 26
stanines, 36
Starko, A. J., 57, 58
star sheet, 53
state guidelines, 13, 14
state mandates, 13
statistical infrequency norms, 46
Sternberg, R., 6, 7
Stewart, R., 39
Story Time, 53
Student Profile, 66, 67, 68, 69, 73
subjective evidence, 65
systematic curriculum, 48
Talented and Gifted (TAG), 23, 24, 25, 67, 71
talent pool, 74, 75
Tannenbaum, 8
psychological model, 8
task commitment, 22, 25, 35, 65
team teaching, 59
Terman, Lewis, 2, 4, 5, 7
Terman’s intelligence scale, 2
Test of Divergent Thinking, 32
test-retest reliabilities, 31, 32
The Genetic Studies of Genius (Terman), 5
theories of intelligence, 6, 7
Think Tank, 25
three-hour battery, 4
Time to Create, 53
Tomlison, 57, 60
Torrance, E. Paul, 29, 30, 34, 46, 47
Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT), 30, 31, 34, 46, 47
total battery, 22, 67, 69
Total Language, 67, 69
Total Math, 67, 69
tracking, 58
traditional assessment, 8
measures, 11, 21
trained teacher observation, 7
trait ratings, 4
Treffinger, D. J., 29
triarchic theory, 7
T-Score chart, 35
Tufts University, 7
underachieve, 19
unique creative picture/transformation, 53
Universal Nonverbal Test of Intelligence, 42
University of Buffalo’s Creative Education Foundation, 27
United States, 27
Index

upper extreme, 36
US Congress, 9
US Office of Education, 10
Utopia, 21

Valencia, A. A., 39
verbal comprehension, 2
verbal form, 31
Viechnicki, K., 19
visual arts (ability in), 7, 10, 14
Visuals, 62

warm-up stage, 48, 52
Wechsler, D., 23, 26
Wechsler Intelligence Scale–4th
Ed. (WISC-IV), 23, 26
Wechsler Preschool and Primary
scale of Intelligence–Revised
(WPPSE-R), 23
Westberg, 30, 33

White, A. J., 30, 33
Whitmore, J., 19
Williams Creativity Assessment Packet
(CAP), 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 47, 67, 69
creative feeling, 67, 69
creative thinking, 10, 14, 67, 69
Williams, Daniel Hale, 40
Williams, Frank, 30, 31, 36
Williams Scale, 47
Winters, L., 42
Witty, P., 5
Wolf, 2
Woodcock-Johnson III Test of Cognitive
Abilities, 27, 29
Woodcock, R., 29
workshops, 60
World War II, 27
Yan, M., 42